

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

BJG

Docket No: 6267-00 12 October 2000





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. You requested that your reenlistment code of RE-4 (not eligible for reenlistment without prior approval of the Chief of Naval Personnel) be changed to RE-3 (eligible for reenlistment except for disqualifying factor, to be reflected by the addition of a capital letter indicating that factor). You submitted a letter from a social worker to show that you are currently suitable for service in the Navy.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 October 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, they found your naval record shows that you entered active duty on 4 March 1999; that you were discharged on 13 April 1999 by reason of erroneous entry (other); that you were processed for separation because you had been diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder; that you had an entry level separation (ELS), meaning that you were discharged within 180 days of your entry on active duty; and that you received the RE-4 code appropriate for an ELS. The social worker's statement did not persuade them that you were suitable for service at the time of your separation. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new

and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director